Dynamic real-time surgical navigation

Digital imaging, diagnostics and impressions, and the use of computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacture (CAD/CAM) for prosthesis fabrication and lasers for soft- and hard-tissue augmentation are altering the developmental framework in dentistry.\textsuperscript{1–5} Nowhere is this more prevalent than in the foundational pillar of endodontics. The magnification and illumination properties of surgical operating microscopes enhance the accuracy of freehand navigation access cavity preparation and microsurgical osteotomy. This has engendered a transformational shift to conservative, more restricted endodontic access cavity preparation.\textsuperscript{6} This preserves coronal and radicular tooth structure by optimising the long-axis entry point, the drill angulation and the glide path to the terminus of the root canal space.

Limitations

In spite of these advances, there are limitations in endodontic clinical scenarios where canals sclerotically regress in a coronal-apical direction and surgical access is space restricted. While the clinician’s experience is a positive factor, altered vertical and lateral angulation of the long-axis orientation of the endodontic access cavity presents iatrogenic risk. In endodontic microsurgery, a small bone volume or a misdirected osteotomy can injure the inferior alveolar nerve or perforate the maxillary sinus and other critical anatomical structures.\textsuperscript{7,8}

The advent of cone beam computed tomography (CBCT—DICOM files) and 3D printing has transformed pretreatment planning. DICOM files are converted into stereolithographic...
files, which are used to create static navigation stents (CAD/CAM-fabricated). The stents direct the access cavity preparation and microsurgical orientation, thus avoiding removal of unnecessary tooth and bone structure (Figs. 1a & b).

Dynamic navigation offers new prospects for computer-guided endodontic protocols. Enhanced accuracy owing to real-time feedback diminishes the complex impact of access cavity preparation of calcified canals, retreatment and microsurgical procedures.9–11 Each navigation protocol has disadvantages. With free-hand navigation used for dentoosseous access and surgery, clinical judgement is the pilot. Freehand navigation depends upon visualisation of the anatomical scenario from information provided by casts and radiographs. Significantly more time is required with a freehand navigation technique in contrast to a guided technique. Determining the canal path position is more complex.

Stereolithographic stents (static navigation) require a medium field of view CBCT scan. Polyvinylsiloxane im-

---

**Fig. 3:** The screen is divided into (1) panoramic view, (2) 3D reconstruction, (3) axial view, and (4) buccolingual and (5) mesiodistal section views. **Fig. 4a:** The planned axis angulation and orientation of the virtual drill are exacting in targeting calcified canals. (Courtesy of Dr Bobby Nadeau) **Fig. 4b:** The red virtual pathway reflects an off-angle positioning. (Courtesy of Dr Bobby Nadeau) **Fig. 4c:** Piezotome planning. (Courtesy of Dr Bobby Nadeau)

**Fig. 5:** The three landmarks chosen are not collinear, and the centre of the thin red cross-hair that appears is focused on the surface of the landmark.
pressions of the arch to be treated are poured and a
digital 3D scan of the stone model merged with the
patient’s DICOM files. The use of an intra-oral scanner
is preferable.

In the case of dynamic navigation, virtual planning of
the endodontic access preparation or the osteotomy can
be affected by the resolution of the CBCT scan. Flaws
in the process of fiducial integrated stent fabrication can
result in inaccurate image acquisition.

Innovation navigation

Dynamic navigation facilitates real-time computer guidance
technology using an imported CBCT data set. This is anal-
ogous to the use of GPS and satellite navigation. An inno-
vative computer-guided technology, Trace and Place (TaP),
has been developed by the Canadian company ClaroNav.
TaP obviates the need for a fiducial stent, with the resultant
increase in the accuracy of dentoosseous penetration.
An optical tracking device (Fig. 2) tracks a Jaw-Tracker,
the optical tracking tag connected to the patient’s jaw,
and a Drill-Tag, which is the optical tracking tag con-
ected to an instrument specific to the procedure. The tip
is superimposed on the CBCT scan, which is mapped to
the patient’s jaw.

The heightened level of accuracy of TaP technology en-
hances the facility of treatment for restricted access cavity
preparation and minimises the size of cortical window
osteotomies (high-speed; Piezotome, ACTEON). Ultrasonic
tips used for root end retro-preparation can also be tracked
by dynamic navigation software.

TaP workflow planning and trace registration

Estimates place the global population over 65 at 615 mil-
lion. Years of dentate and periodontal disease can impact
on the pulp, the periapex and the periradicular tissues.
With longevity will come increasing numbers of a mosaic
of endodontic procedures, as age and treatment induce
sclerotic changes in the pulp canal space. As such, the
use of dynamic navigation will prove to be of significance
in a myriad of endodontic treatment protocols.

Prior to the appointment

The first stage of TaP workflow is the importation of the
patient’s CBCT data set (as DICOM file) into the dynamic
navigation planning software to reveal the dentition. The
screen shows the streaming video, panoramic view, tar-
get view, depth indicator, and buccolingual and mesiodistal
section views (Fig. 3). The access point of entry, the axis
orientation/angulation and the depth of the access cavity
are planned. For microsurgical procedures, the Piezotome
pathway is based on the dimensions of the osseous pathol-
ogy surrounding the root apex (Figs. 4a–c). The planning
stage can be done at any time prior to the procedure, pro-
vided the CBCT scan is consistent with the current dentate
condition. As a preliminary step prior to the trace regis-
tration, three to six trace starting points (landmarks) are
chosen and marked on visible and accessible teeth.

Fig. 6: (1) The Jaw-Tracker is installed. (2) During tracing, the system presents the percentage sampled up to 100%.

Fig. 7: The Tracer-Tag and the Tracer-Tool are attached, the Tracer-Tool calibrated. The software shows the number of points contacted as a percentage.
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Fig. 8a: Calibration of the drill axis and the instrument tip. Fig. 8b: The Drill-Tag (optical tracking tag).
When the computer mouse is positioned over the 3D model, a 2D cross-sectional view appears. The red cross-hair sticks to the landmark, its centre on the surface (Fig. 5). The software advises the clinician if it suspects that the landmark is in an incorrect position.

### Trace registration

The Jaw-Tracker (mandible or maxilla) or Head-Tracker (maxilla) is securely fastened to the jaw to be treated (Fig. 6). It should be noted that the Jaw-Tracker can be positioned at a distance from the rubber dam, unlike a Jaw-Tracker attached to a fiducial stent, which is more positionally restricted. Once the three landmarks have been determined, the optical tracking sensor tracks the Tracer-Tag or Tracer-Tool as it is brushed around the landmarks on the facial, lingual and occlusal surfaces in a manner similar to applying etching or bonding solutions. The software shows the number of points contacted as a percentage (Fig. 7).

### Calibration of the drill

The Drill-Tag is attached to the handpiece, and the drill axis and drill tip are calibrated. The optical tracking sensor continuously tracks the Drill-Tag, and the software shows the location and position of the drill or Piezotome. The software will issue a warning if the Drill-Tag or the Jaw-Tracker is out of view of the camera (Figs. 8a & b).

### Dentoosseous real-time navigation

The navigation screen is active when the system identifies the calibrated instrument as it approaches the patient’s jaw. The target view measures the distance between the instrument’s tip and central axis of the designated access penetration point, the glide path or the osteotomy. The central axis length of the planned procedure is represented by the centre of the static white target, and the tip of the drill is indicated by the moving black cross following the drill tip movement. The real-time direction of the drill is represented as a cone in the head of the handpiece (Figs. 9a & b).

During the drilling, the moving cross and cone are tracked. The cone will turn green when the instrument tip is within 0.5 mm and has an angulation of less than 3° to the planned glide path or osteotomy. When the drill tip reaches a distance of 1 mm from the apical or horizontal extent of the planned depth landmark, the depth indicator turns yellow.

### Conclusion

Dynamic navigation is an additional value chain in digital workflow sequencing. Minimally invasive protocols are the trajectory of dentistry’s future. Dynamic navigation is proving to be both the pilot and co-pilot of this new milestone in patient-centric care. All innovation requires seminal exploration of both the incentives for and barriers against prior to acceptance of a new technology as a contributing protocol. Early adaptation is osmotic: general acceptance occurs by diffusion. Improvements in the resolution of computer screens, optical markers and the reference array will herald an unprecedented level of accuracy in endodontic procedures. Digital has replaced analogue as the societal norm. The transition in the dental profession is in process.

**Editorial note:** A list of references is available from the publisher.
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